



# भारत का राजपत्र The Gazette of India

असाधारण

EXTRAORDINARY

भाग II—खण्ड 3—उप-खण्ड (iii)  
PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (iii)

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित  
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

सं. 2 ]  
No. 2]

नई दिल्ली, बुधस्वतिवार, मई 27, 1999/ज्येष्ठ 6, 1921  
NEW DELHI, THURSDAY, MAY 27, 1999/JYAISTHA 6, 1921

भारत निर्वाचन आयोग

अधिसूचना

नई दिल्ली, 25 मई, 1999

आ.अ. 2(अ).—लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम 1951 (1951 का 43) की धारा 106 के अनुसरण में निर्वाचन आयोग वर्ष 1998 की निर्वाचन अर्जा संख्या 2 में मध्य प्रदेश उच्च न्यायालय, जबलपुर के तारीख 29 अप्रैल, 1999 के आदेश को एतद्वारा प्रकाशित करता है।

[सं. 82/म०प्र०-लो०स०/(2/98)/99]

आदेश से,

एल० एच० फारुकी, सचिव

ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA

NOTIFICATION

New Delhi, the 25th May, 1999

O.N. 2(E).—In pursuance of section 106 of the Representation of the People Act, 1951 (43 of 1951) the Election Commission hereby publishes the order of the High Court of Madhya Pradesh, Jabalpur dated 29th April, 1999. Election Petition No. 2 of 1999.

[No. 82/MP-HP/(2/98)/99]

By Order,

L.H. FARUQI, Secy.

MADHYA PRADESH HIGH COURT, JABALPUR

In the High Court of Judicature at Jabalpur

Election Petition No. 2/1998

(ELECTION PETITION UNDER SECTION 80/80-A  
OF REPRESENTATION OF PEOPLE ACT, 1951)

Present : Hon. Dharmadhikari, J.

Petitioner :

1. Vipin Kumar Panjabi son of Shri Gurumukh Das Panjabi, aged 36 years, Advocate, resident of Raigarh District Raigarh (M.P.)
2. Girdhari Gupta, son of Late Shri Deena Nath Gupta, aged 43 years, resident of New Post Office Road, Kharasia Distt. Raigarh (M.P.)

VERSUS

Respondent :

Ajit Jogi, Member of Parliament (Lok Sabha) resident of Sarbahara, Tahsil Pendra Road, District Bilaspur (M.P.) also temporarily residing at AB-79, Shahjahan Road, New Delhi.

22nd April, 1999

Present :

Shri R.N. Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner.

Shri V.K. Tankha, learned counsel for the respondent.

The counsel for the parties have not been able to dispute the legal position that by dissolution of the Lok Sabha, the respondent is no longer member of the Parliament.

This petitioner, therefore, is rendered infructuous because the only ground urged is alleged improper acceptance of the nomination of the return candidate respondent Shri Ajit Jogi.

**Service report of notice on respondent**

- (1) Notice of the respondent is published in the Indian Express, New Delhi.
- (2) Shri V.K. Tankha, Advocate has filed the Vakalatnama on behalf of the respondent.
- (3) The Counsel for the petitioners has filed the reply to application (I.A. No. 1/99) for dismissal of petition.

(4) The Counsel for the respondent has filed the written Statement to the Election Petition.

(5) The Counsel for the petitioner has not filed the reply to I.A. No. 11/99. as per office note dated 26-4-99.

Consequent upon the dissolution of the Lok Sabha, the petition is disposed of as infructuous.

Cost to be borne by the parties. The amount of security deposited should be refunded to the petitioner.

[No. 2/MP-HP/(2/98)/99]

L.H. FARUQI, Secy.